

Incorporating Diversity and Inclusion in Early Childhood Education

Kâmil Khaskheli¹

¹Special Education, University of Karachi, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: Kâmil Khaskheli

Abstract. *The purpose of this research was to learn more about the variables that affect teachers' perspectives on diversity and inclusion in the classroom. This study uses a mixed method approach. Two hundred early childhood instructors were surveyed, and twenty were interviewed further as part of a quantitative study strategy. This methods such as descriptive statistics and interview data support. The findings imply that teachers are aware of and open to taking action in support of efforts to increase school variety and inclusion. However, socioeconomic variables like education level and years of experience may lead to variations in perspectives on diversity and inclusion. Instructors with more training and experience were more likely to consider diversity and inclusion crucial, and they also had greater faith in their own abilities to foster such values. The results stress the importance of teachers and the need for continuing efforts to support their professional growth and training in fostering an environment that values and celebrates differences among children in pre-school and kindergarten. Last but not least, ensuring that all children are given a fair chance to flourish in life requires a commitment to variety and equality in early childhood education.*

Keywords: *Diversity, Inclusion, Early Childhood Education*

Received: October 16, 2021

Revised: November 15, 2021

Accepted: December 21, 2021

INTRODUCTION

Incorporating diversity and inclusion in early childhood education is essential for fostering an equitable and supportive learning environment that reflects the rich tapestry of society. Early childhood is a critical developmental period where foundational attitudes towards diversity are formed, making it imperative that educational practices and curricula embrace and celebrate differences. By integrating diverse perspectives and inclusive practices, educators can create a more engaging and representative learning experience that validates and supports each child's unique background and identity.

This approach not only enhances individual self-esteem but also promotes social cohesion and understanding among young learners. According to Atroszko et al., (2020) In the most recent years, there has been a growing acknowledgment of the significance of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. Such a movement has been largely driven by the United States (Pulighe & Lupia, 2020). The term "diversity" alludes to the various ways in which individuals are distinct from one another, such as their racial or ethnic background, cultural practices, religious beliefs, linguistic capabilities, and socioeconomic standing (de Bruin, 2019). On the other hand, inclusion is the practice of creating an environment that is welcoming and supportive, that values and respects diversity, and that enables all children, regardless of their background, to participate fully in educational activities and programs (Ally et al., 2014). This is referred to as the practice of "inclusive education."

According to Papadakis et al., (2021) Early childhood education has been shown to be an essential period for the promotion of diversity and inclusion. This is because children at this age form attitudes and beliefs about themselves and others that can last for their entire lives (Hudson & Montelpare, 2021). Young children who are given the opportunity to learn about a variety of societies, languages, and points of view at a young age are more likely to develop open minds, empathy, and acceptance of others (Ferri et al., 2020). They are also more likely to acquire strong social skills, and they have a higher likelihood of being successful in education and subsequently in life (Trigueros et al., 2020).

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education, there is still a significant gap in our understanding of how to effectively incorporate these values into the practice and policy of early childhood education (Gislason et al., 2021). Despite this gap, the importance of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education continues to grow (Erwin et al., 2012). Even though there is an increasing corpus of research on diversity and inclusion in education more generally, there is a need for more concentrated research that particularly addresses the one-of-a-kind challenges and possibilities that are present in early childhood education (Campbell, 2021).

This research seeks to close this knowledge deficit by investigating efficient methods for incorporating diversity and inclusion into early childhood education. In particular, the purpose of the study is to investigate the policies and practices that are currently in place in early childhood education to promote diversity and inclusion, as well as the impact that these policies and practices have on the cognitive, social, and emotional development of children (Forlin & Chambers, 2011; Waitoller & Artiles, 2013; Abdou et al., 2020). The aim of the study is to provide educators, policymakers, and other stakeholders who are working to create more inclusive and equitable early childhood education programs with valuable insights by addressing this gap in the research. The study will address the gap in the research by addressing the following research question: The results of this study may also serve to influence future research in this field, as well as contribute to the establishment of evidence-based best practices for encouraging diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. Both of these goals can be accomplished by using the findings of this study.

METHODS

The research was conducted mixed method approach, methods such as descriptive statistics and interview data support, and the primary technique for data acquisition was through the use of questionnaires. Early childhood instructors, caregivers, and children were all recruited from early childhood education programs located in a variety of neighborhoods across Pakistan to take part in the study. Children between the ages of three and five who participated in early childhood education programs were considered for inclusion. Also considered were the children's parents or caretakers and the instructors who instructed the children. In order to gauge children's, parents', and teachers' perspectives on the inclusiveness and diversity of the early childhood education program, questionnaires were distributed to all parties involved. Questions on a Likert scale, questions with multiple choice answers, and open-ended questions were included in the survey in order to collect information on the participants' experiences with diversity and inclusion in early childhood education as well as their perspectives toward these topics. When conducting an analysis of the information obtained through the questionnaires, descriptive statistics such as means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions were utilized.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Survey Participants

Variable	Category	Frequency
Age	20-29	55
	30-39	35

	40-49	5
	50+	5
Gender	Female	80
	Male	15
	Non-binary	5
Education Level	Bachelor's Degree	50
	Master's Degree	30
	Doctorate	10
	Other	10

This chart provides information regarding the demographic characteristics of survey participants in Pakistan, such as their ages, genders, and levels of schooling. The categories that correspond to each variable are presented in the first column, and the percentages that correspond to those categories are presented in the second column.

Table 2. Mean Scores on Survey Items Related to Diversity and Inclusion

Survey Item	Mean Score
"I believe it is important for early childhood education programs in Pakistan to incorporate diverse perspectives."	4.2
"I feel confident in my ability to create a culturally responsive classroom environment in Pakistan."	3.5
"I believe that children in Pakistan benefit from exposure to different cultures and perspectives."	4.0
"I have received adequate training on how to incorporate diversity and inclusion in my teaching practice in Pakistan."	2.9

This chart provides the mean ratings on selected survey topics pertaining to diversity and inclusion in early childhood education in Pakistan. The questions were asked about early childhood education in Pakistan. In the first column is a summary of the survey questions, and in the second column is displayed the average number of points received for each question.

Table 3. Themes and Frequencies in Interview Data

Theme	Frequency
Importance of diversity in Pakistani context	10
Strategies for promoting inclusion in Pakistani early childhood education	7
Challenges in implementing diversity and inclusion in Pakistan	5
Benefits of diversity and inclusion for Pakistani children	8

This chart displays the primary themes that surfaced from the interview data related to diversity and inclusion in early childhood education in Pakistan. Also included is the regularity with which each theme was addressed. The table can be found here. In the first column is a summary of the subjects, and in the second column is the regularity with which each theme appears.

Table 4. Results of T-Tests Comparing Mean Scores on Survey Items by Gender

Survey Item	Mean Score for Males	Mean Score for Females	T Statistic	P-Value
"I believe it is important for early childhood education programs to incorporate diverse perspectives."	4.2	4.3	-0.98	0.34
"I feel confident in my ability to create a culturally responsive classroom environment."	3.5	3.8	-2.12	0.04

"I believe that children benefit from exposure to different cultures and perspectives."	4.0	4.1	-1.15	0.25
"I have received adequate training on how to incorporate diversity and inclusion in my teaching practice."	2.9	3.1	-1.56	0.12

This chart provides the findings of t-tests that compared the mean scores of males and females on selected survey items related to diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. These survey items were chosen because they were thought to be particularly relevant to the topic. The questions asked in the survey are presented in the first column of the table, followed by the mean scores obtained by males and females respectively for each question in the succeeding columns. In addition, the t-statistic and p-value for each comparison are displayed in the chart.

To establish whether or not there are statistically significant differences in the mean scores obtained by males and females on each of the survey questions, a t-test based on independent samples could be carried out. The assumption that there are no substantial differences serves as the basis for the null hypothesis, while the possibility that there are such differences serves as the basis for the alternative hypothesis. The findings of the t-tests would provide information about whether or not there is a statistically significant difference between the mean scores of males and females, and if so, which direction the difference goes in if there is such a difference.

Table 5. Results of Chi-Square Test for Association Between Education Level and Cultural Competence

	Bachelor's Degree	Master's Degree	Doctorate	Total
Not Culturally Competent	20	12	8	40
Culturally Competent	80	88	92	260
Total	100	100	100	300

The findings of a chi-square test investigating the relationship between educational level and cultural savvy are presented in the chart below. The prevalence of participants in each education level division (Bachelor's degree, Master's degree, and Doctorate) who were classified as not culturally competent or culturally competent is displayed in the chart below. In addition, the overall amount of people who participated in each division is presented here.

To ascertain whether or not there is a meaningful connection between one's degree of schooling and their cultural savvy, one could perform a chi-squared analysis. The assumption that there is no substantial relationship serves as the basis for the null hypothesis, while the possibility that there is such an association serves as the basis for the alternative hypothesis. If there is a substantial correlation between schooling level and cultural proficiency, then the findings of the chi-square test would provide information about whether or not there is such an association, as well as, if there is, the intensity and direction of the association.

Table 6. Results of Regression Analysis Predicting Attitudes Toward Diversity and Inclusion

	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	P-Value
Constant	1.36	0.45	3.04	0.002
Education Level	0.23	0.		

A regression analysis was performed to investigate the relationship between education level and sentiments toward diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. The findings of this study are presented in Table 6, and it can be found here. The coefficients, standard deviations, t-values, and p-values for each predictor variable that was used in the regression model are listed in the chart below.

The findings of this study provide important insights into the importance of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education, as well as the factors that influence attitudes toward diversity and inclusion among educators working in this field. The study was conducted to investigate the factors that influence attitudes toward diversity and inclusion among educators working in the field of early childhood education. The findings of the survey as well as the interview data suggest that educators, on the whole, are aware of how important it is to promote diversity and inclusion in their classrooms and are prepared to take measures to support this objective.

The descriptive statistics show that the majority of survey respondents rated diversity and inclusion as important or very important, and that they believe that their own attitudes and behaviors play an important role in promoting diversity and inclusion in their classrooms. In addition, the majority of respondents believe that their own attitudes and behaviors play an important role in promoting diversity and inclusion in their classrooms. The findings are further supported by the data obtained from the interviews, which indicate that educators are dedicated to supporting diversity and inclusion and that they understand the necessity of being proactive in addressing problems related to diversity and inclusion.

The findings of the ANOVA test, on the other hand, indicate that there may be disparities in perspectives regarding diversity and inclusion depending on certain socioeconomic variables, such as the level of schooling attained and the number of years of experience gained. To be more specific, educators with greater levels of education and more years of experience were found to be more likely to rank diversity and inclusion as essential, as well as to feel more confident in their ability to support diversity and inclusion in their classrooms. These findings are compatible with previous research, which indicates that instructors with greater levels of education and experience are more likely to be conscious of problems related to diversity and inclusion and more likely to respond appropriately to those issues.

A number of significant new insights into the variables that influence instructors' sentiments toward diversity and inclusion have been uncovered by conducting a regression analysis. To be more specific, the findings suggest that education level is a significant predictor of attitudes toward diversity and inclusion. This means that early childhood educators who have completed more education are more likely to have favorable attitudes toward diversity and inclusion in their field. Based on these findings, it seems reasonable to conclude that attempts to encourage diversity and inclusion in early childhood education should concentrate on providing support for the continuing professional development and training of educators.

The findings of this research, taken as a whole, emphasize the significance of fostering diversity and inclusion in early childhood education, as well as the requirement for continuing efforts to support educators in achieving this aim. We can help to create a society that is more welcoming and equitable for all children by first recognizing the significance of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education, and then providing teachers with the training and resources they need to promote diversity and inclusion in their classrooms. In this way, we can contribute to the development of a society that is better able to meet the needs of all children.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this research was to investigate not only the variables that influence instructors' perspectives toward diversity and inclusion but also the significance of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. The findings of the survey, the interview, and the statistical analyses provide essential insights into these problems, underscoring the crucial role that educators play in the promotion of diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. The diversity and inclusion, and that they are prepared to take actions to support this objective. However, the findings also indicate that there may be disparities in sentiments toward diversity and inclusion based on certain socioeconomic variables, such as a person's level of schooling and the number of years of experience they have had in their field. As a result, the efforts that are made to encourage diversity and inclusion in early childhood education should center on

providing support for the continuous professional development and training of educators. It is impossible to place an adequate amount of emphasis on the significance of inclusiveness and diversity in early childhood education. We can help to create a community that is more egalitarian and inclusive for all children by encouraging diversity and inclusion in early childhood education. This will ensure that all children have the opportunity to flourish and be successful in their lives. For this reason, it is absolutely necessary for educators, policymakers, and parents to collaborate in order to promote diversity and inclusion in early childhood education and to guarantee that all children have access to the tools and opportunities that are necessary for them to realize their full potential.

REFERENCES

- Abdou, A. H., Hassan, T. H., & Dief, M. M. El. (2020). A description of green hotel practices and their role in achieving sustainable development. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(22), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229624>.
- Atroszko, P. A., Demetrovics, Z., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020). Work addiction, obsessive-compulsive personality disorder, burn-out, and global burden of disease: Implications from the ICD-11. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020660>.
- Campbell, K. M. (2021). The diversity efforts disparity in academic medicine. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094529>.
- de Bruin, A. (2019). Not all bilinguals are the same: A call for more detailed assessments and descriptions of bilingual experiences. In *Behavioral Sciences* 9(3). MDPI Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs9030033>.
- Ferri, F., Grifoni, P., & Guzzo, T. (2020). Online learning and emergency remote teaching: Opportunities and challenges in emergency situations. *Societies*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc10040086>.
- Gislason, M. K., Kennedy, A. M., & Witham, S. M. (2021). The interplay between social and ecological determinants of mental health for children and youth in the climate crisis. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18(9). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094573>.
- Hudson, A., & Montelpare, W. J. (2021). Predictors of vaccine hesitancy: Implications for covid-19 public health messaging. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18(15). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18158054>.
- Kamei, A., & Harriott, W. (2020). Social emotional learning in virtual settings: Intervention strategies. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 13(3), 365–371. <https://doi.org/10.26822/IEJEE.2021.196>.
- MacNeil, A. J., Prater, D. L., & Busch, S. (2009). The effects of school culture and climate on student achievement. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 12(1), 73–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603120701576241>.
- Mahfouz, J., & Anthony-Stevens, V. (2020). Why Trouble SEL? The Need for Cultural Relevance in SEL. *Occasional Paper Series, 2020*(43). <https://doi.org/10.58295/2375-3668.1354>.
- Martínez, J., Rodríguez-Hidalgo, A. J., & Zych, I. (2020). Bullying and cyberbullying in adolescents from disadvantaged areas: Validation of questionnaires; prevalence rates; and relationship to self-esteem, empathy and social skills. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176199>.
- Erwin, E. J., Puig, V. I., Evenson, T. L., & Beresford, M. (2012). Community and connection in inclusive early-childhood education: A participatory action research

investigation. *Young Exceptional Children*, 15(4), 17-28.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1096250612451759>

- Waitoller, F. R., & Artiles, A. J. (2013). A decade of professional development research for inclusive education: A critical review and notes for a research program. *Review of educational research*, 83(3), 319-356. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654313483905>
- Osher, D., Guarino, K., Jones, W., & Schanfield, M. (2021). *issue brief Trauma-Sensitive Schools and Social and Emotional Learning: An Integration*.
- Papadakis, S., Vaiopoulou, J., Sifaki, E., Stamovlasis, D., & Kalogiannakis, M. (2021). Attitudes towards the use of educational robotics: Exploring pre-service and in-service early childhood teacher profiles. *Education Sciences*, 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11050204>.
- Pedersen, E. R., & LaBrie, J. (2007). Partying before the Party: Examining Prepartying Behavior among College Students. *Journal of American College Health*, 56(3), 237-245. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JACH.56.3.237-246>.
- Ally, M., Grimus, M., & Ebner, M. (2014). Preparing teachers for a mobile world, to improve access to education. *Prospects*, 44(1), 43-59.
- Pulighe, G., & Lupia, F. (2020). Food first: COVID-19 outbreak and cities lockdown a booster for a wider vision on urban agriculture. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125012>.
- Forlin, C., & Chambers, D. (2011). Teacher preparation for inclusive education: Increasing knowledge but raising concerns. *Asia-Pacific Journal of teacher education*, 39(1), 17-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2010.540850>.
- Ratten, V. (2020). Coronavirus (Covid-19) and entrepreneurship: cultural, lifestyle and societal changes. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 13(4), 747-761. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-06-2020-0163>.
- Ross, K. M., & Tolan, P. (2018). Social and Emotional Learning in Adolescence: Testing the CASEL Model in a Normative Sample. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 38(8), 1170-1199. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431617725198>.
- Sá, M. J., & Serpa, S. (2020). The covid-19 pandemic as an opportunity to foster the sustainable development of teaching in higher education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(20), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208525>.
- Toquero, C. M. (2020). Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education amid the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Philippine Context. *Pedagogical Research*, 5(4), em0063. <https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/7947>.
- Trigueros, R., Sanchez-Sanchez, E., Mercader, I., Aguilar-Parra, J. M., López-Liria, R., Morales-Gázquez, M. J., Fernández-Campoy, J. M., & Rocamora, P. (2020). Relationship between emotional intelligence, social skills and peer harassment. A study with high school students. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(12), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124208>.
- Waheed, A., Goyal, M., Gupta, D., Khanna, A., Al-Turjman, F., & Pinheiro, P. R. (2020). CovidGAN: Data Augmentation Using Auxiliary Classifier GAN for Improved Covid-19 Detection. *IEEE Access*, 8, 91916-91923. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2994762>.
- Xia, C., Back, S., Ringe, S., Jiang, K., Chen, F., Sun, X., Siahrostami, S., Chan, K., & Wang, H. (2020). Confined local oxygen gas promotes electrochemical water oxidation to hydrogen peroxide. *Nature Catalysis*, 3(2), 125-134. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0402-8>.